

Application form for the

Fund Combating

Sexual Exploitation of Children

Contents

Contents	2
ntroduction	
General information	
Threshold criteria	
Qualitative criteria	
Checklist annexes	17

Introduction

This application form is to be used as a guide for drawing up an application for the Fund Combating Sexual Exploitation of Children. In the event of differences in interpretation between this application form and the grant policy framework, the latter will prevail.

The application form is based on the administrative rules published in the Government Gazette on 15 July 2022 (the grant policy framework). The two texts form a coherent whole: the application form is a practical interpretation of the administrative rules. Applicants must use this application form when applying for a grant.

One form should be completed for each application and submitted with the annexes specified in the form. When completing the application form, it is not sufficient to refer the reader elsewhere for the sake of brevity, unless the form states that this is acceptable. Incomplete applications may get a lower score or be rejected.

More information

Requests for more information concerning this document or the Fund Combating Sexual Exploitation of Children will only be accepted if they are sent by email to DSO-GA@minbuza.nl no later than 9 September 2022. Answers to questions will be published weekly on the Q&A section on <u>Beleidskader fonds bestrijding seksuele uitbuiting kinderen | Publicatie | Rijksoverheid.nl.</u> Questions will be anonymised and, if necessary, aggregated. Please check first whether your question has already been answered in the Q&A section, the grant policy framework or the application form.

Submitting applications

Applications must be received no later than 23:59 CEST on 16 September 2022. Applications submitted after this time and date will be rejected.

Application requirements

The administrative rules (i.e. the grant policy framework) list the requirements that applications must meet (please refer to chapter 7.2 and chapter 8 in grant policy framework).

Practical instructions concerning the application


- When completing the application form, responses should be based as much as possible on SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Time-Bound) principles.
- Your application must be accompanied by a number of annexes, specified in the relevant sections and in the overview at the end of this form.
- Only where indicated, you may refer to other documents in your responses. These documents must be
 included as annexes to the application. Please specify the page number and paragraph of the text that you
 are referring to, and number all annexes.
- All amounts should be stated in euros. Use the corporate rates (in annex 5 to the grant policy framework) for conversion to euros.
- The figures provided must be supported with audited annual accounts.

¹ Government Gazette 2022, no. 18683.

- For detailed information on the organisational capacity of the applicant/lead party and the integrity policy of the applicant/lead party and co-applicants, please refer to next paragraph.
- The lead party bears sole responsibility for ensuring that the application is complete and submitted in time. This means it should contain all essential information and answers to the questions in the form.
 When referring to information in an annex, state the annex number and the relevant passage/page.

Organisational capacity and integrity policy

Please take note of the information given under Threshold criteria 7a and 7b of the grant policy framework, with regard to the organisational capacity of the lead party and the integrity policy of the lead party and co-applicants. In enabling lead parties to demonstrate that the lead party and its co-applicants satisfy the requirements for organisational capacity and integrity, the lead party must submit the documentation presented in the figure below, as an annex to the application form (see also Threshold criteria D7a and D7b in the application form below).



General information

General information on the project and, if applicable the consortium (consisting of the lead party and coapplicants):

a.	Project name	Project name
b.	Brief project description (max. 150 words)	Brief project description
C.	Name of lead party	Name of lead party
d.	Director(s) lead party	Title/ Name of director
		Title/ Name of director
		Title/ Name of director
e.	Name of contact person for the application	Name of contact person
f.	Contact details	email address

		telephone number
		house number and street/P.O. Box number
		postal code
		town/city
		country in which the applicant organization/lead party
		has its registered office
g.	Communication by email	The Ministry prefers email contact. Do you agree to the
		email address given above being used for official
		correspondence with the Ministry? NB: The final decision
		on the application will (also) be sent by post.
		□Yes □No
h.	Give the names of all co-applicants, if applicable,	name(s) of co-applicant(s)
	and their full address, directors and contact persons	email address
		house number and street/P.O. Box number
		postal code
		town/city
		country
		name of director(s)
-		name of contact person
i.	Lead party's bank and bank account number	IBAN bank account number
	(IBAN/BIC)	Name of account holder
j.	Are the lead party and co-applicant(s) receiving any	State here if applicable.
	other grants from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs? If so, which grants, what are the amounts involved,	
	what is their duration and for what activities have	
	they been granted (activity number)? You can	
	provide this information in a separate, numbered	
	annex.	
k.	Signature on behalf of lead party; by signing, the	Signatory's name
	lead party declares to be authorized to sign this	
	application and to have completed this application	
	(including appendices) completely, correctly and	
	truthfully.	
		Position of signatory
		Date
		Signature

Threshold criteria

To qualify for a grant, an application must satisfy all the threshold criteria below. Applications that do not satisfy all of the criteria will not be considered further. These criteria are explained below and it is indicated which information you need to provide for each criterion.

Please indicate for each threshold criterion, under "Explanation by applicant", whether the application complies with this criterion, what this is based on, and where precisely evidence of this compliance can be found, in the documents provided by the lead party.

Criterion	Explanatory note
D.1a The application has been submitted on behalf of a consortium by a lead party which is a CSO established either in the Netherlands or in a low-income, lower-middle-income or upper-middle-income country (see list of countries in annex 4 to these administrative rules).	This must be clear from the lead party's statutes and from a partnership agreement signed by the lead party and all coapplicants which has been concluded with a view to implementing the activities for which a grant is requested under the Fund Combating Sexual Exploitation of Children. Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you are referring to.
	 Definitions: Civil society organization: a non-profit organization, neither established by a government body nor linked to a government body either de facto or under its constitution, which is a legal person under civil law and serves a public interest. CSO established in the Netherlands: an organization founded in the Netherlands under Dutch law and having had its registered office in the Netherlands for at least three years as of 1 January 2022. CSO established in a low-income, lower-middle-income or upper-middle-income country: an organization that has its registered office in one of the countries listed in annex 4 and is established there under that country's prevailing laws.
Explanation by applicant	
. , , , ,	

Criterion	Explanatory note
D.1b The consortium on whose behalf the lead party has	This must be demonstrated by enclosing a copy of the
submitted the application consists of civil society	statutes of the lead party and of all co-applicants.
organizations, including at least one civil society	
organization established in a low-income, lower-middle or	International non-governmental organizations (INGOs)
upper-middle-income country (listed in annex 4 to these	established in a high-income country other than the
administrative rules) and at least one civil society	Netherlands may take part in a consortium as a consortium
organization established in the Netherlands.	partner but not as lead party.
	Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you
	are referring to.
Explanation by applicant	

Criterion	Explanatory note
-----------	------------------

D.2 The application must include a partnership agreement signed by both the lead party and all co-applicants which has been concluded with a view to implementing the activities for which a grant is requested under the Fund Combating Sexual Exploitation of Children, laying down at least:

- how each of the consortium partners will contribute to the consortium's activities (its role, task and responsibilities);
- how decisions are made within the consortium;
- how costs and risks are shared among the consortium partners;
- how the consortium partners will ensure that the lead party fulfils the obligations towards the Minister in respect of the grant, including responsibility for the joint aggregated reports (including IATI-compliant reports);
- how consortium partners keep each other informed about progress of the program, as well as about their financial health;
- how the partnership can be adapted;
- each consortium member's role in monitoring and evaluating the progress in the activities for which a grant has been received and in the project financed from the fund.

This must be demonstrated by producing a partnership agreement signed by the lead party and all co-applicants meeting above mentioned requirements.

Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you are referring to.

Explanation by applicant

Criterion	Explanatory note
D.3 Both the lead party and all co-applicants work to	This must be demonstrated on the basis of the goals of the
achieve universal access to SRHR for children and young	lead party and all co-applicants, mentioned in the statutes
people up to the age of 18, and the fight against and/or	and/or annual reports (2019, 2020 and 2021).
prevention of sexual exploitation of children in low-	
income, lower-middle and/or upper-middle-income	Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you
countries (see annex 4) in cooperation with CSOs	are referring to.
established in these countries.	
Explanation by applicant	

Criterion	Explanatory note
D.4 The lead party has at least the preceding year	This must be demonstrated by submission of the annual
experience in working on achieving access to SRHR for children and young people up to the age of 18, and/or the	report 2021 of the lead party.
fight against and/or prevention of sexual exploitation of	Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you are referring to.

children in at least three of the in the application proposed countries, as of 1 January 2022.

Explanation by applicant

Criterion **Explanatory note D.5** In the 1 January 2019 – 31 December 2021 period at In the 2019-2021 period annually at least 25% of the conleast 25% of the lead party's total annual income must sortium's total annual income came from sources other have come from sources other than direct grants and/or than grants and/or contributions derived directly from the contributions from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. Ministry of Foreign Affairs (including missions). This criterion applies to the consortium as a whole. Consequently, if the lead party or one of co-applicants derived annually less than 25% of its total annual income from sources other than grants or contributions derived directly from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, this may be offset by (an)other party (parties) in the consortium. This must be demonstrated by the last three annual accounts (2019-2021) of all consortium partners or reference to the relevant pages in the annual reports if the annual accounts are included in these reports. Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you are referring to.

Explanation by applicant

Year	Consortium's income (total amount of annual organizational budget). Amount in euro.	Income from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs Amount in Euro.	Income from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs as percentage of total income. Percentage.
2019			
2020			
2021			

Criterion Explanatory note

D.6

A. The maximum remuneration of individual management and board members of a lead party or co-applicant established in the Netherlands must not exceed EUR 199.000 per calendar year for a 36-hour working week, as from the start of the period for which the grant is being requested.

This amount includes:

- 1. the total of periodically paid salary, profit shares and bonuses;
- 2. taxable fixed and variable expense allowances;

The maximum remuneration is linked to the remuneration of the Director-General for International Cooperation (DGIS) at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. DGIS falls under the collective labor agreement for Dutch civil servants, under which a 36-hour working week constitutes full-time employment. If a management or board member is employed for less than 36 hours per week, the maximum remuneration of EUR 199,000 is reduced in proportion to the part-time factor (their weekly number of working hours divided by 36, multiplied by EUR 199,000). If a management or board member is employed for more than 36 hours per week, the maximum remuneration remains EUR 199,000. If the part-time factor is included in the grant application, this

- 3. payments made at set times of year, such as holiday pay, 13th month's bonus, the employer's share of pension contributions, etc.
- B. This criterion also applies to applicants with legal personality established in other EU member-states. For applicants established in EU member-states that do not use the euro, this amount is converted into local currency using the Ministry of Foreign Affairs corporate rates (annex 5) as at 1 July 2022.
- C. With reference to the data on purchasing power published by EUROSTAT (comparative price levels 2022)², the following remuneration ceilings apply to applicants established in any of the following countries:

Norway	NOK	2.018.915
Switzerland	CHF	206.065
Japan	YEN	27.111.760
US/Canada	USD	210.522
United Kingdom	GBP	169.086

D. From the start of the grant period, the maximum remuneration of individual managers and board members of a lead party or co-applicants established in any other countries must be in reasonable proportion to the seniority of their position and to the organization's geographical location, size and complexity.

will be used to calculate the prorated maximum remuneration. If no part-time factor is provided, it will be assumed that the remuneration is paid for a 36-hour working week.

Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you are referring to.

Re A+B+C+D

The lead party must specify the remunerations (including allowances), as well as the size of appointment (in hours per week; see also below under Explanation of criterion) of managers (including the CEO) and board members of its own organization and of all co-applicants, of the start of the grant period – following the format below:

For co-applicants (other than the lead party) established in EU member states that do not use the euro, and for lead parties and other consortium partners from countries outside the EU, other than the countries under C, the euro is converted into local currency using the Ministry of Foreign Affairs corporate rates (annex 5) as at 1 July 2022.

Re A

For Dutch lead parties and co-applicants that fall within the scope of the Top Incomes (Standardization) Act (WNT), the lead party may include a reference to the annual WNT notification instead of providing the specifications presented above, unless this data is no longer valid as of 1 January 2022 due to a change in remuneration. (The WNT data must be published on the internet for a period of at least seven years and must be easily accessible and available to the general public.)

<u>Ad D</u>

In addition to specifying the remuneration of the individual management and board members of the lead party and all co-applicants based outside the EU, Norway, Switzerland, Japan, USA/Canada and/or the United Kingdom, the lead party must provide, for these consortium partners, an explanation why the remunerations are in reasonable proportion to the seniority of the positions, and the geographical location, size and complexity of the organization(s) in question. You could for instance think of:

- a) Tasks and responsibilities of the official;
- b) Number of employees of the organization (organizational unit);
- c) Annual total organizational income in 2019, 2020,
- d) Explanation of complexity and strategic positioning of the organization (e.g. role in

² See: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/tgm/table.do?tab=table&init=1&language=en&pcode=tec00114&plugin=1

- international policy, work terrain, knowledge function) based upon which the remuneration is justified;
- e) Remuneration of comparable organizations in the same country or region;
- f) Other relevant information about the remuneration compared to the seniority and geographical location, size and complexity of the organization.

Explanation by applicant

Job title	Total of periodically paid salary, profit shares and bonus payments	Taxable fixed and variable expense allowances	Payments made at set times of year, such as holiday pay, thirteenth- month bonus, employer's share of pension contributions,	Working hours per week
			etc.	

Criterion	Explanatory note
D.7a The lead party must be capable of adequate financial management and can guarantee, through its experience and expertise with regards to activities such as for which a grant is requested, effective and efficient implementation of activities.	The lead party should demonstrate this by submitting one of the following: Valid PARTOS 9001 – 2015, version 2018 certificate; or If the organization has a positively assessed Organizational Risk and Integrity Assessment (ORIA): a completed ORIA update form (Annex 6 to the policy rules), referring to the activity number of the grant obtained or, if the application was ultimately rejected on grounds other than lack of organizational capacity, the grant framework to which the application was submitted; or In all other cases: a fully completed ORIA (Annex 7 to the policy rules).

Explanation by applicant

Criterion

D.7b The lead party demonstrates that the lead party and all co-applicants have an integrity policy in place. The lead party demonstrates that the lead party and all coapplicants have procedures in place to apply this integrity policy. This integrity policy and these procedures should serve to prevent, as much as possible, any transgressive behavior, including sexual misconduct, towards employees and third parties during the implementation of the proposed activities for which the grant is requested by the lead party and the co-applicants as well as other parties involved in the implementation. This integrity policy and these procedures should also serve to, in the event of such incidents, ensure that these are investigated, and appropriate measures are taken to put an end as promptly as possible to the misbehavior and to mitigate its consequences. These procedures are structured in a way that guarantees prompt reporting of any such incidents to the Minister.

Explanatory note

The lead party should demonstrate this by submitting one of the following for the lead party and all co-applicants:

- Valid PARTOS 9001 2015, version 2018 certificate; or
- For the lead party and co-applicants with a positively assessed ORIA: a reference to the activity number of the grant obtained or, if the application was ultimately rejected on grounds other than lack of organizational capacity, the grant framework to which the application was submitted; or
- For the lead party and co-applicants without an ORIA: a completed ORIA Integrity Update form (Annex 8 to the policy rules), to be submitted in English.

Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you are referring to.

Explanation by applicant

Criterion	Explanatory note
D.8 The application is aimed at combating and/or preventing the sexual exploitation of children and young	This is demonstrated in the program proposal.
people up to the age of 18, as defined in the Luxembourg Guidelines ³ .	Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you are referring to.
Explanation by applicant	

Criterion D.9 The activities for which grant funding is requested will be implemented in at least three countries. Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you are referring to. Explanation by applicant

³ A child is a victim of sexual exploitation when he or she participates in a sexual activity in exchange for something (for example, profit or advantage or the promise of it) that belongs to a third party or to the child himself or herself.

Criterion	Explanatory note
D.10 The activities for which grant funding is requested have a duration of three years, starting per 1 January	This is demonstrated in the program proposal.
2023 and ending on 31 December 2025.	Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you are referring to.
Explanation by applicant	

Criterion	Explanatory note
D.11 The grant amount requested is maximum EUR 15.000.000 for the total duration of the program.	This is demonstrated in the budget appended to the application.
	Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you are referring to.
Explanation by applicant	
Explanation by applicant	

Explanatory note
This is demonstrated in the program proposal.
Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you are referring to.

Criterion	Explanatory note
D.13 The application does not regard activities:	This is demonstrated in the program proposal and the
- which already receive grant funding or a contribution	budget appended to the application.
directly or indirectly from the Ministry of Foreign Af-	
fairs budget;	Please specify the annex, passage and/or page number you
- that have already started at the time of submission of	are referring to.
the application; or	
- by organizations already receiving core funding from	
the Ministry of Foreign Affairs budget for period that	
is still ongoing; or	
- for-profit; or	
- by local civil society organizations which already re-	
ceive a contribution indirectly from the Ministry of	
Foreign Affairs budget.	

Explanation by applicant	

Qualitative criteria

The criteria below are used to assess the quality of applications for a grant under the Fund Combating Sexual Exploitation of Children. These criteria relate to the Program Proposal (max. 550 points), the Vision on Working with Local Organizations (max. 175 points), and the Track Record (max. 275) that must accompany each application. The maximum attainable score is 1000 points⁴ for applications.

In order to be eligible for a grant, a consortium must attain an overall satisfactory score (at least 650 points⁵), as well as for each of the three parts: the Program Proposal (355 points or more)⁶, The Vision on Working with Local organizations (120 points or more), and the Track Record (175 points or more)⁷. If one or more of the parts, or the overall score, turns out to be of insufficient quality, the application will be discarded and rejected.

Please indicate for each qualitative criterion, under "Explanation by applicant", why and/or how the application complies with this criterion, what this is based on, and where precisely evidence of this compliance can be found, in the documents provided by the applicant.

Program proposal

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.1.a The extent to which there is a clear problem analysis, which links to the chosen result area(s) and SDG3 and/or SDG5 (see criterion D.8) that results in a single strategic objective with regards to the fight against and/or prevention of sexual exploitation of children. Q.1.b If the application focuses on one result area: the	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
extent to which there is a clear explanation why one result area was chosen; if the application focuses on multiple result areas: the extent to which there is a clear and logical connection between the intended results. Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.2 The extent to which there is a logical and substantiated link between the intended interventions, the assumptions and results, and how these contribute to the strategic objective.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

⁴ If an application includes a service delivery component, 50 additional points can be obtained in total. A calculation method will be used to compensate for the difference in the maximum number of points that can be obtained between applications with and without a service delivery component.

⁵ If an application includes a service delivery component, 50 additional points can be obtained in total. A calculation method will be used to compensate for the difference in the maximum number of points that can be obtained between applications with and without a service delivery component.

⁶ If the application makes partial use of service delivery, an additional 30 points can be awarded under program proposal. To compensate for the difference in the maximum number of points to be awarded between applications without and with a partial commitment to service delivery, an adjustment factor will be used.

⁷ If the application makes partial use of service delivery, an additional 20 points can be scored under the Track Record section. To compensate for the difference in the maximum number of points to be awarded between applications without and with partial commitment to service delivery, an adjustment factor will be used.

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.3 The extent to which gender equality and inclusion are an integral part of the program proposal, and the extent to which there is specific attention for interventions to eliminate obstacles for excluded groups, women and girls, and youth in general.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
 Q.4 The extent to which a clear SWOT analysis (where the T refers to a risk analysis) has been conducted, taking into account: political/social/economic (risk) factors; conflict sensitivity. 	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.5 The extent to which the consortium has knowledge of key organizations and stakeholders relevant to the program and demonstrates this by means of an actor analysis.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.6 The extent to which there is a clear argumentation as to why the consortium intends to collaborate with these specific organizations and groups in order to achieve the strategic objective, reflecting on their: a. legitimacy; b. added value; c. complementarity.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.7 The extent to which the TOC and program approach, including the activity plan for the first 12 months and the multi-annual plan in general terms, follow logically from the above mentioned analyses.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.8.a The extent to which achieving the intended results is realistic in the three-year period.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Q.8.b The way in which the goal will be pursued of ensuring sustainable results.	
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.9 The extent to which a contribution is made to raising awareness of the rights of the target groups and to amplifying the voice of these groups so that they can demand their rights.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Please specify passage and/or page number where the in-
formation can be found.

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.11 The extent to which the MEL plan is complete and allows for adequate monitoring of the program with room for adjustments if and when necessary.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.12 The extent to which the consortium seeks to connect with Dutch society.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.13 The extent to which the requested grant amount is explained in a clear and convincing manner (per post) and relates to the intended activities and results in the period for which the grant is requested.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.14 If the program proposal includes a service delivery component: the extent to which the proposed service delivery relates to the primary commitment to combat and/or prevent sexual exploitation of children and benefits the groups mentioned under result area 1 and/or 4 (young people and people whose sexual and reproductive rights are denied).	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Vision on Working with Local Organizations

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.1 The extent to which there is a clear analysis of the main power relations at for the consortium relevant levels between the consortium partners and local partners and groups.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.2 The extent to which the various local partners and	Please specify passage and/or page number where the in-
target groups are assured an equal say in decision-making	formation can be found.
processes on:	
 roles and responsibilities; 	
 mutual rights and obligations; 	
selection of partners;	
 budget allocation; 	
program design;	
program implementation.	
Explanation by the applicant:	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.3 The extent to which learning and innovative ways of working are a structural part of the collaboration.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Track Record

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.1.a The extent to which the consortium and/or	Please specify passage and/or page number where the in-
consortium partners show(s) that they have demonstrable	formation can be found.
expertise in combating and/or preventing sexual	

exploitation of children, and freedom of choice on matters of sexuality and access to SRHR information, resources and services for children and youth up to the age of 18.

Q.1.b The extent to which the consortium and/or consortium partners were able to effectively achieve the intended results.

Explanation by the applicant:

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.2 The extent to which the consortium and/or consortium partners show(s) that they have worked in the countries relevant to the application.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.3.a The extent to which the consortium and/or consortium partners have knowledge of relevant actors in the countries to which the application refers (government, business, civil society and social movements). Q.3.b. The extent to which they have worked effectively with them.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.4 The extent to which the consortium and/or consortium partners were focused on learning and on exchanging knowledge and experiences with the actors involved.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.5 The extent to which gender equality and inclusion were effectively integrated into the analysis, implementation and intended results, and were reflected in the spending of financial resources.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

|--|

Q.6 The extent to which obstacles to opportunities for optimal participation in society by excluded groups, and women and girls, and young people in general, were identified and addressed and/or removed.

Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.

Explanation by the applicant:

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.7.a The extent to which the consortium and/or consortium partners ensured transparency concerning their actions. Q.7.b The extent to which they reported on their actions to local partners, governments, supporters, financiers and any other relevant actors.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.8 The extent to which the sustainability of results was ensured.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Criterion	Explanatory note:
Q.9 If the program proposal includes a service delivery component: the extent to which the consortium and/or consortium partners have experience and expertise in the area of service delivery in the field of combating and/or preventing child sexual exploitation, and SRHR.	Please specify passage and/or page number where the information can be found.
Explanation by the applicant:	

Checklist annexes

To be submitted, together with this Application Form:

- Program proposal, including all requirements laid down in chapter 7.2.1 of the administrative rules (max. 20 pages);
- Vision on Working with Local Organizations, including all requirements laid down in chapter 7.2.2 of the administrative rules (max. 4 pages);
- Track Refcord, including all requirements laid down in chapter 7.2.3 of the administrative rules (max. 4 pages);
- Budget, annexed to the program proposal, based on the compulsory budget model (Annex 3);
- Liquidity forecast for the first twelve months of the program, annexed to the program proposal (Annex 9)
- A signed partnership agreement (see criterion D.2);
- The documents required to show organizational capacity and integrity (see criterion D.7);
- All other documents and information required for the threshold criteria.